

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/643,621	08/22/2000	Farzad Hiri	4397.32	9076	
27045 7590	/~06/08/2 <b>0</b> 04		EXAMI	NER.	
ERICSSON INC.			ELAHEE, MD S		
M/S EVR C11	21 08/22/2000 Farzad Hiri 4397.32 9076  7590 / 06/08/2004 EXAMINER  SSON INC.  JEGACY DRIVE  VR C11 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	PAPER NUMBER			
PLANO, TX 75024			2645		
			DATE MAILED: 06/08/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/643,621	HIRI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
•	Md S Elahee	2645	
The MAILING DATE of this communicati	on appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 13 <sup>th</sup> May 2004 FAILS TO PL Therefore, further action by the applicant is requi final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be e condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1	red to avoid abandonment of thi either: (1) a timely filed amendm of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3	s application. A proper reply to a ent which places the application i	in
PERIOD F	FOR REPLY [check either a) or	D)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the		,	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of event, however, will the statutory period for reply exp ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REF 706.07(f).	ire later than SIX MONTHS from the maili PLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH	ng date of the final rejection. S OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPE	P
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the perio 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	d of extension and the corresponding amos shortened statutory period for reply origina	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension feally set in the final Office action; or (2) as se	ee u et fo
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Ap 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be er	ntered because:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would requi	ire further consideration and/or s	earch (see NOTE below);	
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (se	e Note below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the appliance issues for appeal; and/or	lication in better form for appeal	by materially reducing or simplify	ying
(d)  they present additional claims withou	t canceling a corresponding nun	ber of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following	ng rejection(s):		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	_ would be allowable if submitte	d in a separate, timely filed amer	ndn
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ reapplication in condition for allowance because		en considered but does NOT plac	ce t
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be consideral raised by the Examiner in the final rejection		OLELY to issues which were new	vly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed ame explanation of how the new or amended of	· · ·	•	n
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as	follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-24</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _	<del></del> .		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a	approved or b) disappro	ved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure S	Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
10. Other:	·	FAN TSANG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/643,621

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claim 1, the proposed limitation, "said step of causing a message .......using an input machenis......" and claim 13, the proposed limitation, "said means for causing a message ......using an input machenis......" are new issues and fails to recite in the original claims.